




## Ensilage Characteristics of Corn Silage Treated with Fermented Green Juice Prepared from Corn, Alfalfa or Timothy

Lamiaa Selim<sup>1</sup>, Kazuo Ataku<sup>1</sup> and Mohamed Tharwat <sup>2, 3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition, School of Dairy Science, Rakuno Gakuen University, 582 Bunkyo-dai-Midorimachi, Ebetsu, Hokkaido 069-8501, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Qassim University, P.O. Box 6622, Buraidah, 51452, Saudi Arabia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, 44519, Zagazig, Egypt

\*Corresponding author: [atieh@qu.edu.sa](mailto:atieh@qu.edu.sa)

### ABSTRACT

Fermented green juice (FGJ) has established its efficiency as a silage additive; its addition to alfalfa and timothy resulted in good fermentation-quality silage. Therefore, the fermentation quality of corn silage treated with FGJ was evaluated in a laboratory-scale experiment. Whole plant corn at the dough stage was harvested and treated with FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa, or timothy. Comparing the fermentation characteristics of the three FGJs, the epiphytic lactic acid bacteria (LAB) predominantly grew in all FGJs, and its level reached  $10^7$  cfu/g in timothy and corn FGJs. While alfalfa FGJ contained the highest number of LAB  $5.8 \times 10^8$  cfu/g. The highest lactic acid content was found in alfalfa FGJ, which subsequently resulted in the highest total acid content. However, alfalfa FGJ recorded the highest pH value, and this may be ascribed to the high buffering capacity of alfalfa. Aerobic bacteria and mold levels were decreased after 2 days of fermentation in all FGJs. On the contrary, yeast tended to increase in corn and alfalfa FGJs while it decreased only in timothy FGJ. Both control and FGJ-treated silages were well-preserved silages. The pH value and ammonia nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ) content did not increase more than 3.79 and 4.9% TN, respectively. Corn FGJ-treated silage has a lower pH value, lower  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}/\text{TN}$ , and higher Flieg's point and V-score than the control silage. Both molds and enterobacterial growth were depressed in all silages. Corn and alfalfa FGJs treated silages had lower aerobic bacterial count than the control. While the lowest level of yeast was detected in timothy FGJ-treated silage. In conclusion, data obtained in this trial suggested that adding FCJ to properly ensiled corn may be of questionable value.

**Keywords:** Corn silage, Fermentation, Fermented green juice, Nutrition, Timothy silage

### Article History

Article # 24-644

Received: 08-Jun-24

Revised: 25-Jun-24

Accepted: 01-Jul-24

Online First: 04-Jul-24

### INTRODUCTION

Corn silage is one of the most popular forages fed to dairy cows because it has good agronomic characteristics and yields high concentrations of nutrients. It is recognized as an ideal crop for preservation by ensiling, but normally its aerobic instability is high once the silo is opened. Many kinds of additives are marketed for enhancing ensiling characteristics and quality of corn and other forage silages. Responses to inoculants as one of these additives are differing, because it is influenced by many variables such as

bacterial species and their numbers, substrate moisture, maturity, and nutrient content in addition to the interaction caused by adding carbohydrates or chemicals with the bacteria at ensiling time (El Hag et al., 1982; Weiss et al., 2016; Nair et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Jiao et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022; Khan et al., 2023). Burghardi et al. (1980) concluded that whole plant corn did not benefit from bacterial inoculant. The objectives of this study were to evaluate the effects of Fermented green juice (FGJ) addition on the fermentation quality of corn silage and to compare the efficiency of FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy.

**Cite this Article as:** Selim L, Ataku K and Tharwat M, 2024. Ensilage characteristics of corn silage treated with fermented green juice prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy. International Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences 13(3): 276-279. <https://doi.org/10.47278/ijab/2024.109>



A Publication of Unique Scientific Publishers

## MATERIALS & METHODS

### Plant Materials

Whole plant corn at dough stage, alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and timothy (*Phleum pratense* L.) both at vegetative stage were harvested for FGJ preparation. Two days later, the whole plant corn was harvested for silage preparation. The three crops were grown on the farm of Rakuno Gakuen University.

### Preparation of Fermented Green Juice

FGJs were prepared from chopped alfalfa or timothy where approximately 100g of each fresh herbage was macerated with 300ml of water using a blender. The macerate was then filtrated through double cheesecloth, and each filtrate was diluted with 500ml distilled water to which 10g of glucose was added, then fitted with gas trap and kept at 30°C for 2 days (Ohshima et al., 1997a,b).

### Preparation of Silage and Analytical Methods

Corn was chopped to 2-4cm lengths by a precision chop forage harvester and immediately transported to the silage-making site; then, one of these FGJs was sprayed at 5ml/500g. Silage without additives was also prepared. The chemical composition of the grasses and silages were determined using ground samples oven-dried at 60°C for 24h. Dry matter contents were determined by oven-drying of the samples at 135°C for 2 h. Crude protein (CP) was calculated by multiplying Kjeldal nitrogen by 6.25 (AOAC, 1990). Water soluble carbohydrate (WSC) content was estimated colorimetrically using anthrone. Data were subjected to analysis of variance and significance was declared at  $P < 0.05$  unless noted otherwise.

## RESULTS

The chemical composition and epiphytic microorganisms on whole plant corn material are presented in Table 1. Dry matter averaged 27% with a crude protein (CP) content of 6.6% dry matter (DM), and WSC of 22% DM. Lactic acid bacterial count was  $4.2 \times 10^4$  cfu/g.

**Table 1:** The chemical composition and viable counts of the ensiled corn

Growth stage	Dough stage
Moisture (%)	73
CP (% DM)	6.6
WSC (% DM)	22
LAB (cfu/g)	$4.2 \times 10^4$
Aerobic bacteria (cfu/g)	$1.1 \times 10^6$
Yeasts (cfu/g)	$2.7 \times 10^5$
Molds (cfu/g)	$3.3 \times 10^4$
<i>E. Coli</i> (cfu/g)	$1.8 \times 10^3$
Enterobacteria (cfu/g)	$1.1 \times 10^5$

CP, crude protein; WSC: water soluble carbohydrate; LAB, lactic acid bacteria

The changes of microbiological count of FGJ between the day of making and after 2 days of incubation are shown in Table 2. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) level had greatly increased in all FGJs, from a level of  $10^2$  cfu/g at day 0 to a level of  $10^7$  cfu/g in corn and timothy FGJs, and to a level of  $10^8$  in alfalfa FGJ. Both molds and aerobic bacterial counts had decreased by the fermentation, while enterobacteria had greatly decreased from a level of  $10^5$  cfu/g to a level less than  $10^2$  cfu/g by the second day of

incubation in all of the three FGJs. On the contrary, yeast tended to increase in both corn and alfalfa FGJs while it decreased in timothy FGJ. The pH value of all FGJs was low, but alfalfa FGJ had the highest pH value (3.9). Lactic and acetic acids were produced in all FGJs, and the highest total acid content was measured in alfalfa FGJ. Butyric acid was not detected in any of FGJs (Table 3), while a low level of 2, 3-butanediol was detected in all of them.

**Table 2:** The changes in viable counts of FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy

	Corn		Alfalfa		Timothy	
	0 <sup>1)</sup>	2	0	2	0	2
LAB (cfu/g)	$4.0 \times 10^2$	$4.1 \times 10^7$	$5.0 \times 10^2$	$5.8 \times 10^8$	$1.2 \times 10^4$	$2.7 \times 10^7$
Aerobic bacteria (cfu/g)	$9.9 \times 10^5$	$7.6 \times 10^5$	$3.8 \times 10^6$	$1.8 \times 10^4$	$2.5 \times 10^7$	$3.3 \times 10^6$
Molds (cfu/g)	$2.6 \times 10^4$	$1.2 \times 10^3$	$6.8 \times 10^4$	$9.0 \times 10^2$	$1.2 \times 10^5$	$1.5 \times 10^3$
Yeasts (cfu/g)	$1.3 \times 10^5$	$4.6 \times 10^5$	$1.0 \times 10^4$	$1.5 \times 10^4$	$2.2 \times 10^6$	$9.2 \times 10^4$
<i>E. Coli</i> (cfu/g)	$< 10^2$	$< 10^2$	$< 10^2$	$< 10^2$	$< 10^2$	$< 10^2$
Enterobacteria (cfu/g)	$2.3 \times 10^5$	$< 10^2$	$3.9 \times 10^5$	$< 10^2$	$1.2 \times 10^6$	$< 10^2$

<sup>1)</sup> The days of incubation of in fermented juice. LAB, lactic acid bacteria

**Table 3:** The fermentation characteristics of FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy

Parameters	Corn	Alfalfa	Timothy
pH	3.71	3.92	3.75
Lactic acid (%)	0.30	0.56	0.23
Acetic acid (%)	0.04	0.07	0.02
Butyric acid (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total acid (%)	0.34	0.63	0.25
2,3 butanediol (%)	0.04	0.04	0.04
Flieg's point	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 4 summarizes DM content, DM recovery, gas loss and CP percent to DM in the corn silage treated with FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy. There was no significant difference in the chemical composition in any of FGJs treated silages than the control one. Both control and FGJs treated silages were well-preserved silages. All silages recorded low pH value and low portion of ammonia nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ) to total nitrogen (TN) content, but only corn FGJ treated silage had lower pH value and  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  % TN than the control silage. Corn FGJ treated silage had higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) lactic acid content than both alfalfa and timothy FGJ treated silages. Acetic acid content had decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ) by the addition of both corn and alfalfa FGJs, and the lowest level ( $P < 0.01$ ) was obtained in silage treated with alfalfa FGJ. Neither butyric nor propionic acid were detected in control and treated silages. All silages treated with FGJs recorded higher Flieg's point and V-score than those of the control (Table 5). At the end of ensiling period, identification and enumeration of microorganisms of corn silage were carried out (Table 6). Control silage had the highest LAB content. Corn FGJ treated silage had the lowest level of aerobic bacteria, while the lowest yeast number was detected in timothy FGJ treated silage. Both molds and enterobacteria were found in a very low level (less than 10 cfu/g) in all corn silages.

## DISCUSSION

Comparing the fermentation end products of FGJs prepared from corn, alfalfa, or timothy, the highest lactic acid content was found in alfalfa FGJ. This subsequently resulted in the highest total acid content. However, alfalfa FGJ recorded the highest pH value, and this may be ascribed to the high buffering capacity of alfalfa.

**Table 4:** The chemical composition of corn silage treated with FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy

Parameters	None	Corn FGJ	Alfalfa FGJ	Timothy FGJ	SE	P
DM (%)	23.30	24.00	23.70	23.80	0.39	0.68
DM recovery (%)	85.8	88.2	87.4	87.6	1.37	0.67
Gass loss (%)	1.89	2.69	2.20	1.90	0.26	0.25
CP (%DM)	6.9	7.5	7.1	6.3	0.51	0.52

SE; standard error; A,B: P<0.01; a,b,c P<0.05. DM, dry matter; CP, crude protein; FGJ, fermented green juice

**Table 5:** The fermentation characteristics of corn silage treated with FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy

Parameters	None	Corn FGJ	Alfalfa FGJ	Timothy FGJ	SE	P
pH	3.79 <sup>a</sup>	3.70 <sup>b</sup>	3.76 <sup>ab</sup>	3.75 <sup>ab</sup>	0.02	0.08
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (%TN)	4.89 <sup>A</sup>	3.46 <sup>B</sup>	4.06 <sup>AB</sup>	4.91 <sup>A</sup>	0.21	0.02
Lactic acid (%)	1.36 <sup>ab</sup>	1.61 <sup>a</sup>	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.28 <sup>b</sup>	0.07	0.06
Acetic acid (%)	0.44 <sup>A</sup>	0.29 <sup>B</sup>	0.15 <sup>C</sup>	0.38 <sup>AB</sup>	0.02	0.01
Butyric acid (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-
Total acid (%)	1.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.90 <sup>a</sup>	1.36 <sup>b</sup>	1.66 <sup>ab</sup>	0.09	0.04
Flieg's point	95.0 <sup>Bc</sup>	99.5 <sup>Aa</sup>	100 <sup>Aa</sup>	96.0 <sup>Bb</sup>	0.25	0.01
V-Score	98.1 <sup>Bc</sup>	99.4 <sup>Ab</sup>	100 <sup>Aa</sup>	98.4 <sup>Bc</sup>	0.13	0.01

SE: standard error; A,B: P<0.01; a,b,c P<0.05.

**Table 6:** The viable counts of corn silage treated with FGJ prepared from corn, alfalfa or timothy

Parameters	None	Corn FGJ	Alfalfa FGJ	Timothy FGJ
LAB (cfu/ g)	9.9×10 <sup>7</sup>	2.1×10 <sup>6</sup>	4.6×10 <sup>6</sup>	3.5×10 <sup>7</sup>
Aerobic bacteria (cfu/ g)	1.9×10 <sup>4</sup>	9.6×10 <sup>3</sup>	1.7×10 <sup>4</sup>	4.1×10 <sup>4</sup>
Molds (cfu/ g)	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>
Yeasts (cfu/ g)	1.8×10 <sup>4</sup>	5.9×10 <sup>5</sup>	2.3×10 <sup>5</sup>	7.0×10 <sup>3</sup>
<i>E. Coli</i> (cfu/ g)	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>
Enterobacteria (cfu/ g)	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>	< 10 <sup>1</sup>

By the second day of fermentation, the epiphytic LAB predominantly grew in all FGJs. Its level reached 10<sup>7</sup> cfu/g in timothy and corn FGJs. While alfalfa FGJ contained the highest number of LAB (5.8×10<sup>8</sup> cfu/g), which may explain the highest content of lactic acid in alfalfa FGJ. Molds level ranged from 10<sup>2</sup> to 10<sup>3</sup> cfu/g, and the level decreased by the second day of fermentation. Yeast level ranged from 10<sup>4</sup> to 10<sup>5</sup> cfu/g. The level decreased only in timothy FGJ in contrast with the results of Masuko et al. (2002) where the yeast's level in timothy FGJ was increased with the days of fermentation.

Both control and FGJs treated silages were well-preserved silages. The pH value and NH<sub>3</sub>-N content did not increase more than 3.79, 4.9% TN, respectively. The efficient conservation of untreated corn silage was possibly attributed to the fact that the number of epiphytic LAB on whole plant corn before ensiling was relatively high. It was at a level of 4.2×10<sup>4</sup> cfu/g, which is higher than that reported in a survey by Speckman et al. (1981) of LAB on corn in 533 fields showed that 42, 63, 69 and 77% of samples had counts below 100, 500, 1000 and 2000 cfu/g of fresh material, respectively.

Variations in the numbers of LAB in crops could be due to some or all of the following; increased use of silage bacterial inoculants, climatic variations, and differences in counting media (Oladosu et al., 2016; Bernardes et al., 2018; Coblenz et al., 2018; Muck et al., 2018; Queiroz et al., 2018). The addition of FGJs during the ensiling process did not induce differences between the chemical composition of treated and untreated corn silage. The addition of FGJ prepared from corn resulted in a slight improvement of the fermentation characteristics of corn silage. This could be related to the fact that the fundamental substrates for epiphytic LAB were not different between corn and corn juice.

The Flieg's point and V-score of alfalfa FGJ-treated silage were higher than those of control silage. However, adding alfalfa FGJ did not improve the quality of corn silage. Moreover, the addition of FGJs to corn silage decreased the acetic acid concentration. These differences could be attributed to the fact that the efficiency of biological additives varies with the chemical and microbiological composition of the fresh crops and environmental conditions.

Speculated that inoculation of silage with LAB might improve aerobic stability via competitive suppression of yeasts; however, results with experiments with bacterial inoculants have indicated positive (Philips and Pendlum, 1984; Su et al., 2017; Kahyani et al., 2019a,b; Wang et al., 2021), negative (Rust et al., 1989; Wang et al., 2018; Coblenz et al., 2022), or no effects (Schaefer et al., 1989; Sanderson, 1993; Thomas et al., 2013) on aerobic stability of silage. However, FGJ should be viewed primarily as fermentation aids. In this study a little research has been done concerning the aerobic stability of corn silage. Enumeration of epiphytic microorganisms of corn silage after opening showed that; both molds and enterobacterial growth were depressed in all silages. Corn and alfalfa FGJs treated silages had lower aerobic bacterial count than that of the control, while the lowest level of yeast was detected in timothy FGJ treated silage.

In conclusion, comparing the fermentation characteristics of the three FGJs, the epiphytic LAB predominantly grew in all FGJs, and its level reached to 10<sup>7</sup> cfu/g in timothy and corn FGJs. While alfalfa FGJ contained the highest number of LAB 5.8×10<sup>8</sup> cfu/g. The highest lactic acid content was found in alfalfa FGJ, which subsequently resulted in the highest total acid content. However, alfalfa FGJ recorded the highest pH value, and this may be ascribed to the high buffering capacity of alfalfa. Aerobic bacteria and molds levels were decreased after 2 days of fermentation in all FGJs. On the contrary, yeast tended to increase in both corn and alfalfa FGJs while it decreased only in timothy FGJ. Both control and FGJs treated silages were well-preserved silages. The pH value and NH<sub>3</sub>-N content did not increase more than 3.79, 4.9% TN, respectively. Corn FGJ treated silage has lower pH value, lower NH<sub>3</sub>-N/TN, and higher Flieg's point and V-score than the control silage. Both molds and enterobacterial growth were depressed in all silages. Corn and alfalfa FGJs treated silages had lower aerobic bacterial count than that of the control. While the lowest level of yeast was detected in timothy FGJ treated silage. The data obtained in this trial suggested that the addition of FCJ to properly ensiled corn may be of questionable value. Therefore, data obtained in this trial suggested that the addition of FCJ to properly ensiled corn may be of questionable value.

### Acknowledgment

The researchers would like to thank Dr. Hideki Terui, Department of Animal Nutrition, School of Dairy Science, Rakuno Gakuen University, Ebetsu, Hokkaido, Japan for technical assistance. Genuine thanks to Dr. Nell Kennedy, Professor and Head of the Department of Biomedical

English, School of Veterinary Medicine, Rakuno Gakuen University, for language revising, her continuous support and for her forceful discussions.

### Conflicts of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

### Author Contributions

LS: concept, design and writing the manuscript draft. LS and KA: practical work. MT: revised and edited the manuscript draft. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript for publication.

## REFERENCES

- Bernardes, T.F., Daniel, J.L.P., Adesogan, A.T., McAllister, T.A., Drouin, P., Nussio, L.G., Huhtanen, P., Tremblay, G.F., Bélanger, G., and Cai, Y. (2018). Silage review: Unique challenges of silages made in hot and cold regions. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(5), 4001-4019. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13703>
- Burghardi, S.R., Goodrich, R.D., and Meiske, J.C. (1980). Evaluation of corn silage treated with microbial additives. *Journal of Animal Science*, 50(4), 729-736. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1980.504729x>
- Coblentz, W.K., Akins, M.S., Jaramillo, D.M., and Cavadini, J.S. (2022). Nutritive value, silage fermentation characteristics, and aerobic stability of grass-legume round-baled silages at differing moisture concentrations with and without manure fertilization and microbial inoculation. *Journal of Animal Science*, 100(11), skac325. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skac325>
- Coblentz, W.K., and Akins, M.S. (2018). Silage review: Recent advances and future technologies for baled silages. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(5), 4075-4092. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13708>
- El Hag, M.G., Vetter, R.L., and Kenealy, M.D. (1982). Effects of silage additives on fermentation characteristics of corn silage and performance of feedlot heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 65(2), 259-266. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(82\)82185-1](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(82)82185-1)
- Jiao, T., Lei, Z., Wu, J., Li, F., Casper, D.P., Wang, J., and Jiao, J. (2021). Effect of additives and filling methods on whole plant corn silage quality, fermentation characteristics and in situ digestibility. *Animal Bioscience*, 34(11), 1776-1783. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ab.20.0804>
- Kahyani, A., Ghorbani, G.R., Alikhani, M., Ghasemi, E., Sadeghi-Sefidmazgi, A., and Nasrollahi, S.M. (2019a). Adjusting for 30-hour undigested neutral detergent fiber in substitution of wheat straw and beet pulp for alfalfa hay and corn silage in the diet of high-producing cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 102(8), 7026-7037. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15740>
- Kahyani, A., Ghorbani, G.R., Alikhani, M., Ghasemi, E., Sadeghi-Sefidmazgi, A., and Nasrollahi, S.M. (2019b). Performance of dairy cows fed diets with similar proportions of undigested neutral detergent fiber with wheat straw substituted for alfalfa hay, corn silage, or both. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 102(12), 10903-10915. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-16869>
- Khan, N.A., Khan, N., Tang, S., and Tan, Z. (2023). Optimizing corn silage quality during hot summer conditions of the tropics: investigating the effect of additives on in-silo fermentation characteristics, nutrient profiles, digestibility and post-ensiling stability. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, 1305999. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1305999>
- Masuko, T., Hariyama, Y., Takahashi, Y., Cao, L.M., Goto, M., and Ohshima, M. (2002). Effect of addition of fermented juice of epiphytic lactic acid bacteria prepared from timothy and Orchardgrass on fermentation quality of silages. *Grassland Science*, 48(2), 102-125. <https://doi.org/10.14941/grass.48.1201>
- Muck, R.E., Nadeau, E.M.G., McAllister, T.A., Contreras-Govea, F.E., Santos, M.C., and Kung, L. Jr. (2018). Silage review: Recent advances and future uses of silage additives. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(5), 3980-4000. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13839>
- Nair, J., Xu, S., Smiley, B., Yang, H.E., McAllister, T.A., and Wang, Y. (2019). Effects of inoculation of corn silage with *Lactobacillus* spp. or *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* alone or in combination on silage fermentation characteristics, nutrient digestibility, and growth performance of growing beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 97(12), 4974-4986. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skz333>
- Ohshima, M., Kimura, E., and Yokota, H. (1997a). A method of making good quality silage from direct cut alfalfa by spraying previously fermented juice. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 66(1-4), 129-137.
- Ohshima, M., Ohshima, Y., Kimura, E., and Yokota, H. (1997b). Fermentation quality of alfalfa and Italian ryegrass silages treated with previously fermented juice prepared from both the herbage. *Animal Science and Technology*, 68(1), 41-44.
- Oladosu, Y., Rafii, M.Y., Abdullah, N., Magaji, U., Hussin, G., Ramli, A., and Miah, G. (2016). Fermentation Quality and Additives: A Case of Rice Straw Silage. *Biomed Research International*, 2016, 7985167. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7985167>
- Philips, W.A., and Pendlum, L.C. (1984). Digestibility of wheat and alfalfa silage with and without wheat straw. *Journal of Animal Science*, 59(2), 476-482. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas1984.592476x>
- Queiroz, O.C.M., Ogunade, I.M., Weinberg, Z., and Adesogan, A. T. (2018). Silage review: Foodborne pathogens in silage and their mitigation by silage additives. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(5), 4132-4142. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13901>
- Rust, S.R., Kim, H.S., and Enders, G.L. (1989). Effects of a microbial inoculant on fermentation characteristics and nutritive value of corn silage. *Journal of Production Agriculture*, 2(3), 235. <https://doi.org/10.2134/jpa1989.0235>
- Sanderson, M.A. (1993). Aerobic stability and *in vitro* fiber digestibility of microbially inoculated corn and sorghum silages. *Journal of Animal Science*, 71(2), 505-514. <https://doi.org/10.2527/1993.712505x>
- Schaefer, D.M., Brotz, P.G., Arp, S.G., and Cook, D.K. (1989). Inoculation of corn silage and high moisture corn with LAB and its effect on the subsequent fermentation and feedlot performance of beef steers. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 25(1-2), 23-38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401\(89\)90105-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-8401(89)90105-3)
- Speckman, C.A., Philips, R.M., Linnertz, D.P., Berger, J.C.A., and Parker, R.B. (1981). A survey for indigenous lactobacillus species on standing field corn at ensiling maturity. *Journal of Animal Science*, 53 (Suppl. 1): 9. (Abstract)
- Su, H., Akins, M.S., Esser, N.M., Ogden, R., Coblentz, W.K., Kalscheur, K.F., and Hatfield, R. (2017). Effects of feeding alfalfa stemlage or wheat straw for dietary energy dilution on nutrient intake and digestibility, growth performance, and feeding behavior of Holstein dairy heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 100(9), 7106-7115. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12448>
- Thomas, M.E., Foster, J.L., McCuiston, K.C., Redmon, L.A., and Jessup, R.W. (2013). Nutritive value, fermentation characteristics, and in situ disappearance kinetics of sorghum silage treated with inoculants. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 96(11), 7120-7131. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-6635>
- Wang, M., Xu, S., Wang, T., Jia, T., Xu, Z., Wang, X., and Yu, Z. (2018). Effect of inoculants and storage temperature on the microbial, chemical and mycotoxin composition of corn silage. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 31(12), 1903-1912. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.17.0801>
- Wang, E., Wang, J., Lv, J., Sun, X., Kong, F., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Yang, H., Cao, Z., Li, S., and Wang, W. (2021). Comparison of Ruminant Degradability, Indigestible Neutral Detergent Fiber, and Total-Tract Digestibility of Three Main Crop Straws with Alfalfa Hay and Corn Silage. *Animals*, 11(11), 3218. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11113218>
- Wang, W., Tan, Z., Gu, L., Ma, H., Wang, Z., Wang, L., Wu, G., Qin, G., Wang, Y., and Pang, H. (2022). Variation of Microbial Community and Fermentation Quality in Corn Silage Treated with Lactic Acid Bacteria and *Artemisia argyi* during Aerobic Exposure. *Toxins*, 14(5), 349. <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins14050349>
- Weiss, K., Kroschewski, B., and Auerbach, H. (2016). Effects of air exposure, temperature and additives on fermentation characteristics, yeast count, aerobic stability and volatile organic compounds in corn silage. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(10), 8053-8069. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10323>
- Zhang, Y., Zhao, X., Chen, W., Zhou, Z., Meng, Q., and Wu, H. (2019). Effects of Adding Various Silage Additives to Whole Corn Crops at Ensiling on Performance, Rumen Fermentation, and Serum Physiological Characteristics of Growing-Finishing Cattle. *Animals*, 9(9), 695. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9090695>